

LEGALITY AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR SENTENCING

*AN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE ON
NON-ARBITRARY PUNISHMENT AND SENTENCING
DISCRETION*

LÉGALITÉ ET AUTRES EXIGENCES EN MATIÈRE DE CONDAMNATION

*UNE PERSPECTIVE INTERNATIONALE ET COMPARATIVE
SUR LES PEINES NON ARBITRAIRES ET LE POUVOIR
DISCRÉTIONNAIRE EN MATIÈRE DE CONDAMNATION*

EDITED BY:

P.H.P.H.M.C. VAN KEMPEN

M.J.M. KRABBE

J.L. GUZMÁN DALBORA

F. MOLINA JEREZ

eløven

Published, sold and distributed by Eleven

P.O. Box 85576

2508 CG The Hague

The Netherlands

Tel.: +31 70 33 070 33

Fax: +31 70 33 070 30

e-mail: sales@elevenpub.nl

www.elevenpub.com

Sold and distributed in USA and Canada

Independent Publishers Group

814 N. Franklin Street

Chicago, IL 60610, USA

Order Placement: +1 800 888 4741

Fax: +1 312 337 5985

orders@ipgbook.com

www.ipgbook.com

Eleven is an imprint of Boom uitgevers Den Haag.

ISBN 978-90-4730-178-3

ISBN 978-94-0011-340-4 (E-book)

© 2023 The authors | Eleven

Cover image: © Lou Oates – Dreamstime.com

This publication is protected by international copyright law.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments xxi

Remerciements xxiii

PART I INTRODUCTION 1ÈRE PARTIE INTRODUCTION

Legality of sentencing and the need for a catalogue of principles 3

Piet Hein van Kempen, Maartje Krabbe, José Luis Guzmán Dalbora and Francisco Molina Jerez

1	Introduction to this volume	3
1.1	Definitions	4
1.2	Outline of this volume	5
1.2.1	Thematic chapters	5
1.2.2	National chapters	6
1.2.3	Present chapter	7
2	The principle of legality, the rule of law and sentencing	7
2.1	The principle of legality as a codified norm	8
2.2	The principle of legality as an inspirational norm	9
3	Limited foreseeability of actual sentencing and execution of sentences	11
3.1	The law is never perfectly clear	11
3.2	Consistency and individualization	12
3.3	Differences or lacking in understanding	13
3.4	Society, politicians, and the media	13
4	The legality principle as an aspiration for consistent individualization	14
5	A catalogue of principles for sentencing and execution of sentences	15
5.1	Principle of proportionality	15
5.2	Principle of guilt or culpability	16
5.3	Principle of equality	17
5.4	Principle of fairness or reasonableness	19
5.5	Principle of individualization	20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5.6	Principle of necessity and principle against unnecessary harm	22
5.7	Principle of the effect of the punishment and principle of rehabilitation	22
5.8	Reasoning for sentences	23
5.9	Further principles, requirements, and limits	24
6	Databases, guidelines, mandatory sentences, and other limits to judicial discretion	24
7	Concluding remarks	26

Légalité des peines et nécessité d'un catalogue de principes 29

Piet Hein van Kempen, Maartje Krabbe, José Luis Guzmán Dalbora et Francisco Molina Jerez

1	Introduction à ce volume	29
1.1	Définitions	30
1.2	Aperçu du présent volume	31
1.2.1	Chapitres thématiques	31
1.2.2	Les sections nationales	33
1.2.3	Présent chapitre	33
2	Le principe de légalité, l'État de droit et la condamnation	34
2.1	Le principe de légalité en tant que norme codifiée	35
2.2	Le principe de légalité comme norme d'inspiration	36
3	Prévisibilité limitée de la condamnation et de l'exécution effectives des peines	38
3.1	La loi n'est jamais parfaitement claire	38
3.2	Cohérence et individualisation	39
3.3	Différences ou manque de compréhension	40
3.4	Société, hommes politiques et médias	40
4	Le principe de légalité comme aspiration à une individualisation cohérente	41
5	Un catalogue de principes pour le prononcé et l'exécution des peines	42
5.1	Principe de proportionnalité	42
5.2	Principe de culpabilité	44
5.3	Principe d'égalité	45
5.4	Principe d'équité ou de vraisemblance	46
5.5	Principe d'individualisation	48

5.6	Principe de nécessité et principe contre les dommages inutiles	49
5.7	Principe de l'effet de la peine et principe de la réhabilitation	50
5.8	Raisonnement pour les peines	51
5.9	Autres principes, exigences et limites	52
6	Bases de données, lignes directrices, peines obligatoires et autres limites au pouvoir discrétionnaire des juges	52
7	Remarques finales	55

PART II THEMES 2IÈME PARTIE THÈMES

The right to be protected against disproportionate sentencing 59

Human rights requirements as regards the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences – the need for a step forward to ‘hard law’ about sentencing systems

P.A.M. Mevis

1	Introduction: human rights in sentencing and in enforcement respectively: a difference in the degree of representation in international regulations	59
2	Some relevant developments	61
2.1	In the European Union (a basis for) involvement in sanctions law and the system of sanctions in the member states is emerging	61
2.2	A changed criminal law climate: diminishing importance of sanction limitations; is the sky the limit?	63
2.3	Sentencing influence of the EU: ‘minimal sentence maxima’	65
2.4	The importance of Jeschek’s ‘objection’ by complicit courts	67
3	Interim conclusion: the need for a ‘protocol’ as a step forward	68
4	Elements of a ‘protocol’	69
4.1	Codification of a prohibition against disproportionate sentencing	70
4.2	Indication of the object of sentencing as a reference point for sentencing and its upper limit?	76
4.3	Codification of three principles as reference points of departure concerning sentencing in the protocol	78

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.4	Demands on the set-up of the system of sanctions in national law	80
4.5	Two specific cases: life sentence and long fixed-term prison sentence	83
4.5.1	Life imprisonment: no 'case against', but effective review and stricter terms for application	83
4.5.2	The long fixed-term prison sentence: a maximum to the 'race to the top' as a point of departure	90
4.6	Interim conclusion	94
5	Human rights and enforcement	95
6	Enforcement: compliance as a condition for international cooperation in criminal cases	100
7	Conclusion	101
	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: the judiciary's independent position and its responsibility for fairness	103
	<i>Yvette Tinsley</i>	
1	Introduction	103
2	Judicial independence, judicial discretion and the rule of law	103
3	Independent of the interests of those who made the law?	106
4	Independent of the interests of those who apply the law	111
5	Independent of the interests of those to whom the law is applied and independent of the time at which it is applied	114
6	Conclusion	115
	Judicial discretion within a framework: between determinate and indeterminate sentencing	117
	<i>Rita Haverkamp and Johannes Kaspar</i>	
1	Introduction	117
2	Historical background	118
3	Sentencing systems	120
4	Purposes of punishment	129
5	Disparity in sentencing	131
6	Practical consequences of crime policies	134
7	Guidance by national constitutional, supranational and international law	135
8	Conclusion	136

Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	137
<i>Uju Agomoh</i>	
1 Introduction	137
2 Fundamentals of a fair sentencing system	138
2.1 Individualized justice	138
2.2 Consistency	139
3 Judicial control of administrative discretion	141
4 Administrative discretion and class analysis	143
4.1 Petty offences	144
4.2 Poverty	144
4.3 Discussion	145
5 Administrative discretion and impact on the prisons/correctional centers designated capacities	149
6 Administrative discretion, threats and opportunities reducing prison congestion, and improving rehabilitation and fairness	157
7 Conclusion	158

PART III NATIONAL REPORTS 3IÈME PARTIE RAPPORTS NATIONAUX

Legality, non-arbitrariness and judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in the Argentine Republic	163
<i>Roberto Patricio Ortenzi and Alejo García Basalo</i>	
1 Introduction	163
2 The principle of legality in constitutional and human rights law	165
3 Judicial discretion and procedural rights	171
4 Judicial discretion in sentencing: position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	174
5 Sentencing by non-judicial entities	177
6 Administrative discretion in the enforcement of sentences	178
6.1 Consequences of the lack of internal policies for prison facilities	179
6.2 Consequences of the lack of regulations on the capacity of prison facilities	179
6.3 Lack of regional prison facilities	180
6.4 Lack of prison inspectorate board	181
7 Conclusion	181

The principle of legality and the rule of law on criminal penalties in Chile		183
<i>Francisco Molina Jerez, Jaime Naquira Riveros and Jose Luis Guzman Dalbora</i>		
1	Introduction	183
2	The principle of legality and the rule of law on criminal matters	185
3	Standards in the light of human rights with regards to the process of sentence and the enforcement of sentences	189
4	Judicial discretion in the sentence in general: position of the autonomous judge and responsibility for equality	189
5	Judicial discretion within a framework	190
6	Sentences pronounced by non-judicial entities.	191
7	Administrative discretion in the enforcement of penalties	192
8	Conclusion	194
 Human-rights movement and constitutional principles in Finnish criminal justice		 195
<i>Tapio Lappi-Seppala and Ilkka Rautio</i>		
1	Introduction: A peak in the past	195
1.1	Against coercive care, abuse of power and the overuse of imprisonment (1960-70s)	195
1.2	“Constitutionalization” and the emergency of fundamental and human rights (1990-2000s)	196
2	The principle of legality and/or the rule of law as regards criminal punishments	197
3	Human rights requirements as regards the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences	198
3.1	Sentencing	198
3.1.1	Human rights-based requirements to criminal sanctions	198
3.1.2	Human rights-based requirements to the sentencing process	199
3.2	Enforcement	201
3.2.1	Human rights and prison law	201
3.2.2	Enforcement principles and fundamental rights	202
3.2.3	Securing the rule of law and human rights in enforcement	204
4	Judicial discretion in sentencing	207

4.1	Sentencing guidance and sources of sentencing law	207
4.2	Sentencing principles and norms	209
4.2.1	Structuring the sentencing decision	209
4.2.2	Sentencing principles	210
4.3	Maintaining the independence of courts in relation to legislative powers, administration and public opinion in practical cases	212
4.4	Guarantees of independence in sentencing	213
5	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	214
5.1	Authorities entitled to impose administrative sanctions	215
5.2	Provisions governing the imposition of sanctions compared to the imposition of criminal penalties	215
5.3	Advantages and disadvantages of administrative penalties	216
6	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	217
6.1	Human rights orientation in enforcement	217
6.2	Curbing the scope of discretion	218
6.3	Discretion in deciding on conditional release	219
7	Conclusion	220

Legality, non-arbitrariness, and judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in the German system 223

Rita Haverkamp and Johannes Kaspar

1	Introduction	223
2	The principle of legality and/or the rule of law as regards criminal punishments	225
3	Human rights requirements as regards the sentencing process, and the enforcement of sentences (with the exception of the human right principle of legality)	227
4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: the position of the independent judge, and responsibility for fairness	229
5	Judicial discretion within a framework	231
5.1	Sentencing rules in the General Part of the Criminal Code	231
5.2	Sentencing rules in the Special Part of the Criminal Code	233
6	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	235
7	Administrative discretion in the enforcement and execution of sentences	238
8	Conclusion	243

Judicial discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in Greece	247	
<i>Effi Lambropoulou and Olga Tsolka</i>		
1	Introduction	247
2	Principles and rules concerning sentencing	248
2.1	The General Principles	248
2.2	Special sentencing guidelines	250
2.2.1	Grounds for increasing punishment	250
2.2.2	Grounds for mitigating punishment	251
3	Other human rights requirements in the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences	253
3.1	The pillars	253
3.2	Fairness in criminal trial procedures	254
4	Judicial independence and discretion in sentencing	257
4.1	Judge's independence	257
4.2	Inter-judicial independence	260
4.3	Critical issues and open questions about judicial independence	261
5	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	263
6	Conclusions	264
Legality, non-arbitrariness and judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences: the case of Ireland	267	
<i>Mary Rogan, Vivian Geiran and Úna ní Raifeartaigh</i>		
1	Introduction	267
2	The principle of legality and the rule of law in Irish sentencing practice	268
3	Human rights requirements in the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences	269
4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: the position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	273
5	Judicial discretion within a framework: guidelines, mandatory and presumptive sentencing	276
6	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	280
7	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	280
8	Conclusion	284

Judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in Italy 285

Franco Della Casa and Massimo Ruaro

1	Introduction	285
2	The principle of legality and the rule of law as regards criminal punishments	287
3	Human rights requirements as regards sentencing process and enforcement of sentences	290
4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: independence of judges and responsibility for fairness	295
5	Judicial discretion within a framework: guidelines, mandatory sentencing rules, foreclosed access to community sanctions	299
6	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	302
7	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	304
8	Conclusions	306

Judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in Japan 309

Takeshi Seto

1	Introduction	309
	1.1 Basic character of the Japanese Penal Code	309
	1.2 Introduction of the saiban-in system	310
	1.3 Changes to the Penal Code	310
	1.4 Sentencing tendency after the introduction of the saiban-in system	311
2	The principle of legality and/or the rule of law as regards criminal punishment	311
	2.1 Proportionality	312
	2.2 Sentencing database	313
	2.3 Mitigating factors for the defendant	313
	2.4 Sentencing procedure at trial and during judicial review of sentencing	314
	2.5 Influence of the saiban-in system	315
3	Human rights and sentencing	316
	3.1 Sentencing process	316
	3.2 Enforcement of sentences	317

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	319
5	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	320
6	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	321
7	Conclusion	324
The rule of law, judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in Lithuania		325
<i>Gintautas Sakalauskas</i>		
1	Introduction	325
2	The principle of legality and the rule of law as regards criminal punishments	326
3	Human rights requirements as regards the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences	331
4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	335
5	Judicial discretion within a framework	335
6	No sentencing by non-judicial entities – even reconciliation without mediation	340
7	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	341
	7.1 Sentencing for disciplinary infractions in correctional facilities	341
	7.2 Reform of release on parole	343
8	Conclusion	343
Legality, non-arbitrariness and judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in the Netherlands		345
<i>P.A.M. Mevis and P.C. Vegter</i>		
1	Introduction	345
2	The principle of legality and/or the rule of law as regards criminal punishments versus (wide) judicial discretion	346
	2.1 Punishment/Criminal Code	346
	2.1.1 Legality and codification	346
	2.1.2 Types of sanctions: penalties and measures	347
	2.1.3 Choice of sanctions and size of punishment	348
	2.1.4 Suspended modalities	349
	2.1.5 Judicial pardon	349

2.2	Principle of legality as regards enforcement of sentences	350
2.2.1	Legislature and legality	350
2.2.2	The courts and legality (1): extensive sentencing possibilities: balancing of interests	350
2.2.3	The courts and legality (2): judicial rulings during the enforcement	351
2.2.4	Enforcement and legality	352
2.3	Prohibition on retroactive force	354
3	Human rights requirements as regards the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences (with exception of the human right principle of legality)	354
3.1	Sentencing process	354
3.1.1	Imposition of the sanction	354
3.1.2	Reasoning of the sanction	355
3.2	Enforcement of sanctions	358
3.2.1	Introduction	358
3.2.2	Further rules: general	358
3.2.3	Further rules: specific	360
3.2.4	Legal protection	360
3.2.5	Practice	362
4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	363
5	Judicial discretion within a framework: guidelines, mandatory sentencing etc.	364
6	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	365
7	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	366
8	Conclusion	367

The application of the principle of legality to criminal punishments in New Zealand **369**

Yvette Tinsley and Warren Young

1	Introduction	369
2	The sentencing framework	370
2.1	Legislative control and guidance	370
2.2	Sentencing case law	374
2.3	The sentencing process	376
2.4	Conclusion on the sentencing framework	378
3	Administration and enforcement of sentences	380

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4	Other penal and preventive measures to address crime	382
4.1	Measures to address the risk of crime	383
4.1.1	Police Safety Orders	383
4.1.2	Public Protection Orders	384
4.2	Measures to provide more flexibility and put more emphasis on rehabilitation and restoration in the response to crime	386
4.2.1	Pre-charge warnings	386
4.2.2	Te Pae Oranga iwi community panels	387
4.2.3	Police pre-trial diversion	387
4.2.4	Therapeutic jurisprudence	388
5	Conclusion	390
Legality, non-arbitrariness and judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and the enforcement of sentences in Norway		391
<i>Asbjørn Strandbakken</i>		
1	Introduction	391
2	The principle of legality with respect to criminal sanctions	392
3	Human rights requirements in relation to the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences	394
4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: the position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	396
5	Judicial discretion within a framework: guidelines, mandatory sentencing etc.	398
6	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	404
7	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	406
8	Conclusion	408
Legality, non-arbitrariness and judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in Poland		409
<i>Małgorzata Wąsek-Wiaderek and Adrian Zbiciak</i>		
1	Introduction	409
2	The principle of legality and/or the rule of law as regards criminal punishments	410
3	Human rights requirements as regards the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences	412

4	Judicial discretion in sentencing in general: position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	414
5	Judicial discretion within a framework: guidelines, mandatory sentencing, etc.	419
5.1	Penalties, penal measures and other sanctions	420
5.2	Statutory limits of choice of sanction and its scope	420
5.3	Extraordinary mitigation/aggravation of penalty	422
5.4	Court's discretion in applying penal measures	426
5.5	Statutory sentencing guidelines	427
6	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	429
7	Administrative discretion in the enforcement of sentences	430
8	Conclusions	432

Legality, non-arbitrariness and judicial and administrative discretion in sentencing and enforcement of sentences in Portugal 435

Anabela Miranda Rodrigues, Sónia Fidalgo and Celso Manata

1	Introduction	435
2	The principle of legality as regards criminal punishments	436
2.1	The principle of legality of criminal offences and penalties	436
2.2	An accusatorial procedural system recognizing the principle of instruction	437
2.3	Legality and discretion in sentencing	438
3	Human rights requirements as regards the sentencing process and the enforcement of sentences	441
3.1	The defendant as an <i>active participant</i> of the criminal procedure	441
3.2	Human rights requirements in the sentencing process	442
3.3	Human rights requirements in the enforcement of sentences	443
4	Position of the independent judge and responsibility for fairness	445
5	Judicial discretion within a framework	447
6	Sentencing by non-judicial entities	448
7	Administrative discretion in the execution of sentences	448
8	Conclusion	450