

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Instruments	ix
Table of Cases	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Framing the issue	1
1.2 Developing the research question	1
1.3 Methodology	3
1.4 Roadmap	5
2 Asset Freezing: Theory, Objects and Purposes	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Asset Freezing and Confiscation	9
2.2.1 Defining the Parameters	10
2.2.1.1 Conviction-based confiscation	12
2.2.1.2 Non-conviction-based confiscation	15
2.2.2 Justifying the Confiscation and Freezing of Assets	16
2.2.2.1 Punitive	17
2.2.2.2 Preventive	18
2.2.2.3 Restorative	19
2.2.2.4 Other	19
2.3 Situating ICC- and UNSC-Requested Asset Freezing Measures	20
2.4 Conclusion	24
3 Coexistent but Uncoordinated – Asset Freezing Measures at the ICC and the UNSC	25
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Modalities	26
3.3 Shared Goals?	28
3.4 Strengthening Cooperation	32
3.5 In Search of Coordination	36
3.5.1 Libya: Coexistent and Coordinated?	37
3.5.2 Coexistent but Uncoordinated	40
3.5.2.1 Democratic Republic of the Congo	40
3.5.2.2 Côte d’Ivoire	51

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.5.2.3	Sudan	55
3.5.2.4	Central African Republic	57
3.5.2.5	Mali	61
3.5.3	Neither Coexistent nor Coordinated	63
3.5.3.1	Uganda	63
3.5.3.2	Kenya	64
3.6	Conclusion	65
4	Recovering Assets at ICTs – Fines, Forfeiture and Orders for Reparations	67
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	The Post-World War II Military Tribunals	67
4.3	The <i>Ad Hoc</i> International Criminal Tribunals	71
4.4	The Rome Statute System	73
4.4.1	Fine and Forfeiture Measures	73
4.4.2	Orders for Reparations	77
4.5	ICTs Established after the Adoption of the Rome Statute	79
4.5.1	The Special Court for Sierra Leone	79
4.5.2	The Special Panels for Serious Crimes	81
4.5.3	The Iraqi High Tribunal	82
4.5.4	The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia	82
4.5.5	The Special Tribunal for Lebanon	83
4.5.6	The Extraordinary African Chambers	84
4.5.7	The Kosovo Specialist Chambers	85
4.6	Some Suggested Reasons behind ICTs’ Failure to Order Asset Recovery Measures	87
4.6.1	Theoretical Basis	87
4.6.2	Dearth of Assets	88
4.6.3	Other Reparations Paradigms	88
4.7	Conclusion	89
5	Pre-Trial ‘Protective Measures for the Purpose of Forfeiture’ at the ICC – Protecting and Balancing Competing Rights and Interests	91
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	Protective measures at the ICC	92
5.2.1	The evidentiary threshold	93
5.2.2	Prior notification and modification	94
5.2.3	The right to appeal	95

5.2.4	The ‘rights of the parties concerned’ and ‘the ultimate benefit of victims’	96
5.2.4.1	Accused persons	96
5.2.4.1	The Prosecutor	98
5.2.4.3	Victims	99
5.2.4.4	Bona fide third parties	99
5.3	The ICC practice	100
5.3.1	The purpose of protective measures and the range of assets susceptible thereto	101
5.3.2	Balancing competing rights and interests in the protective measures process	106
5.4	Concluding remarks	112
6	UNSC-Requested Asset Freezes and the Legal Protection of Individuals	115
6.1	Introduction	115
6.2	The Evolution of UNSC Sanctions: From General to Targeted Measures	115
6.3	Identifying (Quasi-)Legal Protections	118
6.3.1	Exemptions	118
6.3.2	The Focal Point for de-Listing	120
6.3.3	Other (Quasi-)Legal Protections	122
6.4	Conclusion	128
7	Asset Freezing at the European and Inter-American Courts of Human Rights – Lessons for the ICC, the UNSC and States	129
7.1	Introduction	129
7.2	Asset Freezing in the Law and Practice of the Strasbourg and San José Courts	131
7.2.1	Protection of property	135
7.2.1.1	Džinić v Croatia	136
7.2.1.2	Chaparro Álvarez and Lapo Íñiguez v. Ecuador	144
7.2.2	Right to respect for private and family life	147
7.2.2.1	Nada v Switzerland	148
7.2.2.2	The need for clear reasoning at the ICC	150
7.3	Conclusion	153

TABLE OF CONTENTS

8	Managing the Frozen Assets of Accused Persons at the ICC – The Fallout of the Bemba Acquittal	155
8.1	Introduction	155
8.2	The <i>Bemba</i> Case	158
8.2.1	(Un)freezing Bemba’s Assets	158
8.2.2	Bemba’s Claim for Compensation and Damages	164
8.3	Domestic Approaches	169
8.3.1	Third party management of seized or frozen assets	170
8.3.2	Use or Sale of Frozen Assets	172
8.4	International Responses	175
8.4.1	Utilising Article 96(3) of the ICC Statute	175
8.4.2	Lessons from Article 106 of the ICC Statute	176
8.4.3	Amending Article 85(3) of the ICC Statute?	177
8.5	Conclusion	179
9	Conclusion	181
	Bibliography	189