

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I	Introduction	1
1	Background	2
2	Scope	6
2.1	Why foreign takeover bids?	6
2.2	Why state regulations of FDIs and corporate and securities laws?	7
2.2.1	State regulations of FDIs	7
2.2.2	Corporate and securities laws	9
2.3	Why China and the Netherlands?	10
3	Methodology	13
3.1	Doctrinal approach	13
3.2	Comparative approach	14
4	Scientific and societal relevance	16
5	Outline	17
II	Theoretical Framework	21
1	Introduction	21
2	Why do takeovers occur: corporate law and economic perspectives	22
2.1	Defining the agency problem	22
2.2	Takeovers: driven by the agency problem	24
2.3	Takeovers: a potential antidote for the agency problem	27
3	The fear of foreign ownership	32
3.1	Effects on local employment	33
3.2	Effects on assets of strategic importance and domestic economic network	34
3.3	Effects on high-tech competitiveness	36
3.4	A remark: reflecting upon the fear of foreign ownership	37
4	The role of corporate and securities laws	38
4.1	Shareholders protection	38
4.2	Contestability determination	40
4.3	Power allocation	44
4.4	Interaction between corporate governance and foreign takeovers	47
5	The role of the state in regulating foreign takeovers	50
5.1	State regulations of FDIs	50
5.2	State intervention and protectionism	52
5.3	Keep the regulatory power of the state under control	56

TABLE OF CONTENTS

6	Conclusion: building up the comparative framework	57
III	Legislative Designs of Foreign Takeover Bids in China	61
1	Introduction	61
2	Regulatory framework of foreign takeover bids	64
2.1	Sources of law	64
2.2	Main regulatory authorities	70
3	The role of state regulation	71
3.1	Industrial policies and the sector-based review	71
3.1.1	An “industry catalogue plus strict approval” approach	71
3.1.2	Exploring and promoting a “negative list plus pre-establishment national treatment” approach	73
3.1.3	Implications of the deregulated approach	75
3.2	National security-based review	76
3.2.1	A gradually established national security review regime for foreign investments	76
3.2.2	The operation of the national security review	80
3.2.3	Reflecting upon the national security review regime	83
4	China’s stock market reforms	85
4.1	An increasingly unified stock market	85
4.1.1	The integration of A-shares and B-shares	85
4.1.2	The conversion from non-tradable shares to tradable shares	87
4.2	An increasingly open stock market for foreign investors	99
4.2.1	From establishing an enterprise to purchasing B-shares	99
4.2.2	From the B-share market to the A-share market	101
4.2.3	From a trivial stake to a controlling stake	105
4.3	Summary: preconditions for the emergence of foreign takeover bids are gradually met	114
5	The role of corporate and securities laws	115
5.1	Typologies of takeover bids	115
5.2	Procedure of takeover bids and corresponding requirements	116
5.2.1	Pre-tender phase	116
5.2.2	Tender phase	119
5.2.3	Post-tender phase	120
5.3	Takeover defences	121
5.3.1	Divisions of corporate power: who has the final say on taking defensive measures?	121
5.3.2	Defensive measures and their legality	123
6	Preliminary concluding remarks	139

6.1	Prominent role of the government in regulating foreign takeovers	139
6.2	But state regulations have been continuously simplified and streamlined	140
6.3	Limited role of corporate and securities laws in defending local companies	140
6.4	But corporate and securities laws are expected to do more	141
IV	Legislative Designs of Foreign Takeover Bids in the Netherlands	143
1	Introduction	143
2	Regulatory framework of foreign takeover bids	144
2.1	Sources of law	144
2.2	Main regulatory authorities	149
3	The role of corporate and securities laws	150
3.1	Takeover Bids Directive 2004/25/EC	150
3.1.1	Aims of the Takeover Bids Directive	150
3.1.2	Pillars of the Takeover Bids Directive	152
3.1.3	A critical reflection: has the Takeover Bids Directive achieved its goals?	158
3.1.4	Summary	168
3.2	Typologies of takeover bids	169
3.3	Procedure of takeover bids and corresponding requirements	171
3.3.1	Pre-tender phase	171
3.3.2	Tender phase	176
3.3.3	Post-tender phase	178
3.4	Takeover defences	180
3.4.1	An overview	180
3.4.2	Preference shares	183
3.4.3	Depository receipts	187
3.4.4	Priority shares	189
3.4.5	Structure regime	190
3.5	Governing takeover defences	192
3.5.1	Enterprise Chamber and the inquiry proceeding	192
3.5.2	Standards set by case law	198
4	Emerging protective measures for Dutch companies	228
4.1	Newly established EU-wide screening framework of inward FDIs	228
4.1.1	Motivation of EU's initiative for a common screening framework	228
4.1.2	Major features of the EU FDI screening framework	236
4.2	Emerging measures to restrict foreign takeovers in the Netherlands	238
4.2.1	Sector-based approach	240

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.2.2	Strengthened defensive arrangements in corporate law	241
5	Preliminary concluding remarks	246
5.1	Prominent role of corporate law in governing foreign takeover bids	246
5.2	Strengthened corporate law instruments for better protection of Dutch companies	247
5.3	The Dutch government is expected to play a role to ward off unwanted buyers	248
V	Comparative Observations, Conclusion, and Recommendations	249
1	Introduction	249
2	Comparative Observations	249
2.1	Divergent regulatory approaches: corporate autonomy model vs. state dominance model	249
2.1.1	Corporate law remains the predominant instrument to protect domestic companies in the Netherlands	249
2.1.2	China mainly relies on state regulations to filter out unwanted foreign takeovers	259
2.2	Gradually aligned practice in China and the Netherlands	264
2.2.1	China deregulates the regulation of foreign investment, while the Dutch government is ready to step in	264
2.2.2	Rules directing the bidding process are to a large extent similar in China and the Netherlands	265
2.2.3	Corporate and securities laws are expected to play a more significant role in regulating foreign takeovers in both jurisdictions	272
3	Conclusion	273
4	Recommendations	276
4.1	Joint efforts should be made to further refine the assessment framework of the deployment of takeover defences in China	280
4.2	China should gradually enhance the flexibility of its corporate law	283
4.3	Established principles to constrain the use of takeover defences should be consistently carried out in the Netherlands	286
4.4	Governments can do more to make regulations concerning foreign takeovers easier for foreign investors to access in both jurisdictions	290
4.5	Security-related terms should be narrowly defined, and remedies within the screening mechanism should be guaranteed in both jurisdictions	291
4.6	International dialogue and cooperation should be encouraged	292
	A Final Concluding Remark	293

References

297