

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	xiii
Note to the Reader	xv
Part I The Research Project Report	
1 Introduction	3
1.1 Reasons for the Research Project	3
1.2 Objectives of the Research Project	10
1.3 Methodology	11
1.4 Brief Outline of the Report	14
2 Generalities of the EAW-System	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Transposition of Framework Decision 2009/299/JHA	17
2.2.1 General Remarks	17
2.2.2 Full Transposition or Deviation?	18
2.3 Issuing of EAWs	19
2.3.1 Responsible Authority	19
2.3.2 The Act of Issuing	22
2.3.3 Using the Correct EAW-Form	25
2.3.4 Language of the EAW	27
2.3.4.1 Perspective of the Executing Judicial Authorities	28
2.3.4.2 Perspective of the Issuing Judicial Authorities	30
2.4 Execution of EAWs	30
2.4.1 Responsible Authority	30
2.4.2 Requesting Supplementary Information	32
2.4.2.1 Supplementary Information	32
2.4.2.2 Impact of Supplementary Information Requests	37
2.4.2.3 Acceptable Supplementary Information Requests	38
2.4.3 Time Limits	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3	General Observations on Article 4a(1) Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA	43
3.1	Introduction: The System of Article 4a(1) Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA	43
3.2	Mandatory or Optional: Transposition	47
3.3	Mandatory or Optional: Refusal	48
3.4	Mandatory or Optional: Application proprio motu	51
3.5	Autonomous Union Law Concepts or National Law Concepts?	55
3.6	Minimal Harmonisation or Full Harmonisation?	59
4	Meaning of the Expression ‘Trial <i>In Absentia</i>’	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Union Law Meaning	65
4.2.1	Union Legislation	65
4.2.2	FD 2009/299/JHA	68
4.2.3	Directive 2016/343/EU	70
4.2.4	Presence by Videoconference?	71
4.3	National Law Meaning	73
4.3.1	Introduction	73
4.3.2	Belgium	73
4.3.3	Hungary	75
4.3.4	Ireland	76
4.3.5	The Netherlands	77
4.3.6	Poland	78
4.3.7	Romania	80
4.4	Conclusion	81
5	Trial Resulting in the Decision	83
5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Enforceable Decision versus Final Decision	84
5.3	Proceedings and Decisions within One Instance	86
5.3.1	Introduction	86
5.3.2	Consensual Proceedings	86
5.3.3	Penalties Imposed without a Trial or by a Non-Judicial Authority	88
5.3.4	Absence at the Trial, Presence at the Pronouncement	92
5.3.5	Presence at Some But Not All Hearings	95
5.4	Successive Proceedings and Decisions	100
5.4.1	Introduction	100

5.4.2	Appeal Proceedings	101
5.4.2.1	Case-Law	101
5.4.2.2	Application in Practice	105
5.4.3	Proceedings to Amend Previously Imposed Penalties	109
5.4.3.1	Case-Law	109
5.4.3.2	Application in Practice	114
5.5	Multiple Decisions	117
5.6	Conclusion	120
6	Summons; Mandated Legal Counsellor; Right to a Retrial: Decision Already Served	125
6.1	Introduction	125
6.2	Summons	126
6.2.1	Introduction	126
6.2.2	Various Forms of Summoning	130
6.2.2.1	Summons in Person	130
6.2.2.2	Summons by Other Means	132
6.2.3	The Place Where the Summons Must Be Served	137
6.2.3.1	Introduction	137
6.2.3.2	Summons Abroad	137
6.2.4	Evidence of Service	139
6.2.5	Remedies for Non-compliance	140
6.2.6	Overall Notions Applicable to All Varieties of Summonses	141
6.2.7	The Practice of the Project Member States	142
6.2.8	Consequences of State Practice for Filling in the Form	143
6.3	Mandated Legal Counsellor	144
6.3.1	Introduction	144
6.3.2	Awareness of the Scheduled Trial	144
6.3.3	Function of the Mandated Legal Counsellor	146
6.3.4	Mandate	146
6.4	Right to a Retrial: Decision Already Served	150
6.4.1	Introduction	150
6.4.2	Service of the Decision	150
6.4.3	Right to a Retrial or an Appeal	152
6.4.4	Non-exercise of the Right to a Retrial or an Appeal	153
6.5	Conclusions	153
7	Right to a Retrial: Decision Served after Surrender	157
7.1	Introduction	157

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7.1.1	Fourth Exception	157
7.1.2	Assurances	158
7.1.3	Serving the Decision and Informing the Person Concerned	158
7.1.4	Characteristics of a Retrial or an Appeal	159
7.1.5	Providing a Copy of the Judgment before Surrender	162
7.1.6	Relationship with Article 5(3) FD 2002/584/JHA	163
7.2	Issues Concerning Interpretation and Application	164
7.2.1	Introduction	164
7.2.2	Right to a Retrial or an Appeal	164
7.2.3	Time Frame	170
7.2.4	Modification of Assurances	172
7.2.5	Contradictory Information	174
7.3	Interrelationship of the Four Exceptions	174
7.4	Conclusions	176
8	Margin of Discretion	179
8.1	Introduction	179
8.2	Other Circumstances Justifying Surrender	181
8.3	Limits to the Margin of Discretion	188
8.4	Consequences of a Refusal	191
8.5	Conclusions	192
9	Conclusions and Recommendations	195
9.1	Introduction	195
9.2	Summary of Conclusions	195
9.3	Recommendations	199
9.3.1	Judicial Authorities	199
9.3.1.1	Issuing Judicial Authorities	199
9.3.1.2	Executing Judicial Authorities	201
9.3.1.3	Both Issuing and Executing Judicial Authorities	203
9.3.2	Member States	205
9.3.2.1	All Member States	205
9.3.2.2	Project Member States	209
9.3.3	European Union	209
	Table of European Cases	213

Part II Proposal to Amend the EAW-Form

1 Amendment of Section (d) of the EAW-Form (proposed changes in bold)	225
A Final Conviction	225
B Final Determination of the Sentence	227
2 Amendment of Section (c) (Proposed Changes in Bold)	229

Part III Case-Law Guide

1 Introduction	233
2 Scope of Application of the ECHR to Proceedings Concerning the Execution of EAWs – General Remarks	235
2.1 Applicability to Proceedings Concerning the Execution of EAWs	235
2.2 General European Standard for <i>In Absentia</i> Trials	235
3 Scope of Application of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights to Proceedings Concerning Execution of EAWs	237
4 Fair <i>In Absentia</i> Criminal Proceedings under the ECHR and under EU Law	239
4.1 Stages of Judicial Examination of the Case Relevant for the Assessment Whether the Proceedings Were Conducted <i>In Absentia</i>	239
4.1.1 Under the Case-Law of the ECtHR	239
4.1.2 Under the Case-Law of the Court of Justice Concerning Article 4a(1) of FD 2002/584/JHA	246
4.2 The General Requirements of ‘a Waiver of the Right to Take Part in the Trial’ under Article 6 of the ECHR and under Article 4a of FD 2002/584/JHA	256
4.3 Requirements Concerning Knowledge about the Trial and Summoning of a Defendant	260
4.3.1 Under the Case-Law of the ECtHR	260
4.3.2 Under the Case-Law of the Court of Justice Concerning Article 4a of FD 2002/584/JHA	264
4.4 Representation by a Lawyer as a Condition of a Fair Trial Conducted <i>In Absentia</i>	266
4.4.1 Under the Case-Law of the ECtHR	266

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.4.2	Under the Case-Law of the Court of Justice Concerning Article 4a of FD 2002/584/JHA	268
4.5	Serving a Judgment on a Defendant as a Condition of Fair <i>In Absentia</i> Proceedings	270
4.5.1	Under the Case-Law of the ECtHR	270
4.5.2	Under the Case-Law of the Court of Justice Concerning Article 4a of FD 2002/584/JHA	270
4.6	The Right to Retrial as a Guarantee of Fairness of Proceedings Conducted <i>In Absentia</i>	274
4.6.1	Under the Case-Law of the ECtHR	274
4.6.2	Under the Case-Law of the Court of Justice Concerning Article 4a of FD 2002/584/JHA	277
5	A Judgment Rendered <i>In Absentia</i> as an Optional Ground for Refusal to Execute EAWs – Admissibility of Surrender of a Person Despite That Conditions Indicated in Article 4a(1) of FD 2002/584/JHA Are Not Met	281
6	Conclusions: Strasbourg and Luxembourg – Difference in Standards	285
	Table of European Cases	287
	Part IV Manual for Filling in and Assessing Section (d) of the EAW	
1	Introduction	295
2	Roadmap to Section (d)	297
2.1	Using the Current Version of the Model-EAW	297
2.2	Points 1 and 2: Did the Requested Person Appear in Person at the Trial Resulting in the Decision?	297
2.2.1	General	297
2.2.2	Non-appearance ‘in Person’	298
2.2.3	‘Trial Resulting in the Decision’	298
2.2.3.1	Introduction	298
2.2.3.2	‘Trial Resulting in the Decision’: Several Instances	299
2.2.3.3	‘Trial Resulting in the Decision’: Subsequent Proceeding Amending the Original Penalty	300
2.2.3.4	‘Trial Resulting in the Decision’: Plea Bargain	302

2.2.3.5	‘Trial Resulting in the Decision’: Trial without a Hearing	302
2.2.3.6	‘Trial Resulting in the Decision’: Trial Consisting of Multiple Hearings	302
2.3	Point 3.1: Was the Requested Person Summoned in Person, etc. in Due Time?	303
2.3.1	General	303
2.3.2	Waiver of the Right to Be Present at the Trial	304
2.3.3	‘In Due Time’	304
2.3.4	‘Summoned in Person, etc.’	305
2.3.5	‘By Other Means Actually Received Official Information, etc.’	305
2.3.6	‘Informed That a Decision May Be Handed Down, etc.’	307
2.4	Point 3.2: Was the Requested Person Defended by a Legal Counsellor Mandated by Him?	307
2.4.1	General	307
2.4.2	Waiver of the Right to Be Present at the Trial	307
2.4.3	Legal Counsellor, Appointed by the Defendant or by the State	308
2.4.4	‘Being Aware of the Scheduled Trial’	308
2.4.5	‘Had Given a Mandate to a Legal Counsellor, etc.’	308
2.5	Point 3.3: Did the Requested Person, after Being Served with the Decision (in Person) and Being Expressly Informed about His Right to a Retrial or an Appeal, Acquiesce in the Decision?	309
2.5.1	General	309
2.5.2	‘After Being Served with the Decision’	310
2.5.3	‘After (...) Being Expressly Informed about His Right to a Retrial, or an Appeal’	310
2.5.4	‘In which the Person Has a Right to Participate (...) Being Reversed’	310
2.5.5	Acquiescence in the Decision	310
2.6	Point 3.4: Was the Requested Person Not Served with the Decision in Person, but Will the Decision Be Served on Him in Person after Surrender and Will He Be Expressly Informed about His Right to a Retrial or an Appeal?	311
2.6.1	General	311
2.6.2	‘Personally Served’	312
2.6.3	‘Expressly Informed about the Right to a Retrial or an Appeal’	312

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.6.4	‘In which the Person Has a Right to Participate (...) Being Reversed’	312
2.7	Point 4: If None of the Boxes of Points 3.1-3.4 Can Be Ticked, Are There ‘Other Circumstances’ That Enable the Executing Judicial Authority ‘to Be Assured That the Surrender of the Person Concerned Does Mean a Breach of His Rights of Defence’?	313
2.7.1	General	313
2.7.2	What Constitutes ‘Other Circumstances’?	313
2.8	Providing Information in the EAW	314
2.9	Assessing Information Provided by the Issuing Judicial Authority	315
2.10	More Information Needed?	316
2.10.1	General	316
2.10.2	The Request	316
2.10.3	Time Limits	317
2.10.4	Communication Channels	317
2.10.5	Language	317
2.10.6	Unsatisfactory Response	318
2.10.7	Reasons for Refusal	318
2.11	Does a Refusal to Execute the EAW on the Basis of Article 4a(1) of FD 2002/584/JHA Have a ne bis in idem Effect?	318